



Agenda

Start Date: April 21, 2023	Start Time: 17:00
Location: Pedersborg Huse 19, 4180 Sorø	Room:
Last Meeting: 22 nd March, 2023	Next Meeting: May 17, 2023

Friday:

#	Agenda Item	Est. Time	Appx	Action
1	Formalities - Appointment of conductor - Appointment of minute taker - Approval of minutes from the last board meeting - Approval of the agenda for this board meeting	17:00-17:10	A	
2	Orientations	17:10-17:25		Orientation
3	Approval of administrative procedures of economy	17:25-17:30	B	Decision
4	Committee workshop	17:30-18:30		
	Break	18:30-18:50		Workshop
5	Approving committee workplans	18:50-19:00		Decision
	Dinner and social activities	19:00		

Agenda Prepared By: Jannick Grenberg Persson - persson@sr-ruc.dk

Minutes By: Sofie - sofiew@ruc.dk

Please remember to confirm/cancel you participation at this boardmeeting on the following link: <https://forms.gle/rFET86XSpfGP8FVg6>

Saturday:

#	Agenda Item	Est. Time	Appx	Action
	Breakfast	09:00-10:00		
6	Skill development presentation	10:00-12:00		
	Break	12:00-12:15		
7	Annual cycle	12:15-13:00		Decision
	Lunch	13:00-14:00		
8	Establishing an election working group	14:00-14:30		Decision
9	Brainstorm session: Ensuring democracy at RUC through recruitment of volunteers	14:30-16:00		Workshop
	Break	16:00-16:30		
10	Student Handbook	16:30-18:00		Workshop
	Dinner and social activities	18:00		

Sunday:

#	Agenda Item	Est. Time	Appx	Action
	Brunch	9:30-10:30		
11	A.O.B.	10:30-10:40		
	Cleaning	10:40-13:00		
	Go home!	13:00		

Appendix A. - DRAFT PENDING APPROVAL

MINUTES OF ORDINARY BOARD MEETING IN THE STUDENT COUNCIL AT RUC	
Date	22 nd of March 2023
Location	13.2-057
Meeting participants	<i>List of people present in the meeting and their role in parentheses.</i> <i>BM - Board member / AR - Academic Council member (no voting rights) / AL - Alternate (voting rights if a BM is missing) / OS - Observer (no voting rights)</i> Jannick Persson (FS), Daniel Bjerregård (FS), Mads Bager (BM), Sofie Würtz (BM), Aleksander Fløystrup (BM), Christian Dalum (BM), Vilte Maldžiūtė (BM), Julie Lindmann (UB), Ellen Nygaard (BM), Gustav Gaardbo (BM), Amalie Jensen (BM), Jessi Arita (OS), Magnus Skovgaard (AL), Lucas Olsen (AL), Joana Luzio (AR), Maria Blæsbjerg (AR)
#1: Formalities	<i>It is the proposed that the board appoints a conductor, a minute taker, approves the minutes from last time, approves the agenda for this board meeting.</i> Conductor: Aleksander Fløystrup Minute taker: Sofie Würtz Minutes from the last meeting: APPROVED Agenda: APPROVED
#2:	Orientation/ 16.20-16.35 Jannick RUC has gotten a 33% increase in applications for the bachelor programmes. Aleksander We have made a post about volunteering with SR for Roskilde Festival and we kindly ask the board to help out with recruiting people for this. Mads UDU; The rectorate wanted to close down UDU which is a sub-council that focuses on big and small reforms and they wanted to close it since they thought it was double work for them. Hanne Leth also felt that she as chair that she would have input on certain things before they were premature in this council. Hanne Leth has withdrawn herself from the position as chairperson of UDU. Members of the Academic Council who aren't a part of UDU also feel as if UDU should be closed yet the general notion in UDU is that it shouldn't be closed. Therefore, they will look into keeping UDU open but rethinking some of the tasks etc. The decision at the moment is therefore not to close UDU. Daniel Tomorrow at 12.30 there's gonna be a flyer handout right by Christiansborg. It's only a half an hour event.

	Jannick The conservative party has made a proposals for the new master reform; only five percent should be made into one-year's and it's should be a trial run. They also suggested that students should get less SU. Mads At the Academic Council meeting we were presented with the plan for how the master reform will play out. Amalie LMU (Ligestilling og mangfoldigheds udvalget) had a meeting yesterday with a lot of great ideas yet no practical plan. They presented an equality report which will be presented to the ministry. Trine Wulff Andersen was there to give a talk on mental disabilities etc. and everyone talked about how the thoughts behind her speech could be used in Tutoring.
#3:	Letter of intent/ 16.35-17.20 (Discussion) "The strategy behind this from RUC is to market and promote itself as The University of Zealand which is understandable yet SDU Slagelse has had a huge deficit the last couple of years which RUC also has so it might be worrying in a way that we end up closing at some point." "We would no longer be a one campus university which we would have to take into account. We'd also have to consider how their student environment is very different - we'd have to benefit from helping out with these social aspects." "Their programmes at SDU Slagelse are similar to some of ours which would mean we might not get the same amount of students. Also, these programmes aren't that popular here at RUC so we might get an even bigger deficit and fewer students in general." "We need to think of the rebranding and how it might benefit us politically since the brand of RUC has a negative reputation most times" "SDU Slagelse experience the same issue as us with having a lot of pendler students who don't participate much in the social environment. I fear we might not be able to help SDU Slagelse or ourselves if we go through with this deal." "What is the benefit in this? Cause it seems very much like we'd be better off by outcompeting them instead. And don't SDU Slagelse sort of aim to get more international students which would pull some away from us?" "The benefits from this is to expand the amount of programmes we offer and we'd have the opportunity to rebrand ourselves. It's always been RUC's vision or goal to be the University of Zealand and they probably feel as if they could get this through by expanding in this way"

	“We should also consider the rebranding confusing people and make them think we’re Zealand (ZBC).” “I think we should focus less on the rebranding and more on the students of SDU Slagelse - do they have a Student Council that represents them etc.?” “On paper they have SDS but they aren’t actively doing a lot for the campus itself.” “At the Academic Council meeting they briefly mentioned that RUC hopes to have the Danish government help with making the transfer as cheap as possible but we also know that this is just a letter of intent and not yet a deal.” “In general, the opinion has to be considered either to do focusing on the economic side of it or the sympathetic side with the students at SDU Slagelse.” “If/when we end up taking over SDU Slagelse we need to establish a division at their campus and help as much as possible with their student environment. We could also consider asking the students of SDU Slagelse.” “It should be taken into account that if we come out now and say that we’re against this idea, it’s gonna make it very difficult to come in later and seem truthful when we say we want to help create a great student environment. It might create a hostile environment.” “There’s also the opportunity of SDU Slagelse becoming a place for efter- og videreuddannelse.” Conclusion To sum up, if the plan is presented and it goes through then we are positive towards gaining more students but we need an official plan that doesn’t harm the current students at RUC. The only thing the UB’s have said yes to so far is that we’ll consider this opportunity and are open to discuss it further. We need to ask the students at RUC that we represent what they think of this idea. We should ask the students at SDU Slagelse what they think of this idea. We don’t have to make a decision on what our opinion is at the moment. We should make a post to inform current students at RUC that it’s just a letter of intent and now something happening at the moment.
	Break/ 17.20-17.35
#4:	New offer of audit/ 17.35-17.45 (Decision) It has been decided that it is an open vote. 14 in favour of changing company. 0 against. The Board had decided to change from Redmark to Trekroner Revision.
#5:	Review of budget 2023/ 17.45-18.15 (Decision) It has been decided that it is an open vote.

	14 in favour of approving the reviewed budget. 0 against. The board has approved the reviewed budget for 2023.
	Break/ 18.15-18.20
#6:	Committee work/ 18.20-18.50 (Workshop)
#7:	A.O.B./ 18.50-19.00 Anarkistiske Studerende på RUC have the meeting on March the 30th at 18.00- They have asked Gustav (BM) to do a presentation on the election so they get knowledge on how to gain influence. Magnus will attend with Gustav. The deadline to sign up for the house seminar in tutoring is on Friday so everyone should help recruit tomorrow. Please help recruit people to volunteer for SR during Roskilde Festival. We have our spring seminar on the 21st of April and we also have a Board Meeting on the 14th of April; should we have both? The board thinks that UNIPOL meets with the UB members on the 14th and the next BM will be on the first day of the spring seminar. Jannick asked the board to also consider points for our meetings to get points on the agenda.
Next meeting	<i>Next meeting will be held on the 21st of April at the Spring Seminar</i>